

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,330	12/29/2000	Ravindra R. Mantena	YOR920000552US1	8671	
23405	7590 05/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC 5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			HAQ, NAEEM U		
ALBANY,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3625		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination MANTENA ET AL. Art Unit				
	09/752,330					
	John G. Weiss	3629				
Document Code - AP.PRE.I						
Notice of Panel De This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri			Review			
			bo following			
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	uest is improper and a conferen	ice will not be neld for t	ne following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: . 						
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.						
2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable	appeal because there is at leas in accordance with 37 CFR 41. om mailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is greate FR 1.136 based upon the mail	it one actual issue for a 37. The time period for balance of the two-mor r. Further, the time peri	ppeal. Applicant filing an appeal oth time period od for filing of the			
☐ The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consider		ollows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
All participants:						
(1) John G. Weiss.	(3) <u>Jeff Smit</u>	h.				
(2) Naeem Hag	(4)	V				